Case 4	21-cr-00450-GKF Document 73 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 01/04/23 Page 1 of 79	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Plaintiff,)	
6	vs.) CASE NO. 21-CR-450-GKF	
7		
8	RAYMOND LEE GOLDESBERRY,)	
9	Defendant.))	
10		
11		
12	EXCERPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS	
13	TESTIMONY OF KELSEY BLEVINS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN ANTOON II	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE MARCH 29, 2022	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	APPEARANCES	
21	MS. CHANTELLE DIAL and MR. KYLE MCWATERS, Assistant United	
22	States Attorneys, Northern District of Oklahoma, United States Attorney's Office, 110 West 7th Street, Suite 300, Tulsa,	
23	Oklahoma, 74119, appeared on behalf of the plaintiff.	
24	MS. ANDREA BROWN, Andrea Brown Law Firm, PLLC, 2021 South Lewis Avenue, Suite 520, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74104, appeared on	
25	behalf of the defendant.	
	US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK REPORTED BY: LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR	

1 PROCEEDINGS: 2 3 (Previous proceedings were had but not designated as 4 part of this record.) 5 cso: All rise. 6 (Jury entered the courtroom.) 7 THE COURT: Please be seated. 8 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. (Jurors replied, "Good morning.") 9 10 **THE COURT:** I hope you had a good break and an easy ride 11 in this morning. 12 Who -- who's the Government's next witness? 13 MR. MCWATERS: Kelsey Blevins, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 (The witness approached the bench.) THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Miss Blevins, if you'll go ahead 16 and stop right there, I'll swear you in. 17 18 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to 19 give in this matter before the Court will be the truth, the 20 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 21 MS. BLEVINS: I do. 22 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Thank you. Go ahead and have a 23 seat, and you can adjust the microphone if you need to. THE COURT: Before -- before we begin, ladies and 24 25 gentlemen, I don't think I introduced Mr. Johnny Phorn to you

yesterday. He's the security officer who's working with you in the morning, and then one of his colleagues substitute in the afternoon.

But like Miss Butler, his -- he has many responsibilities, and one of those is to make sure that you're secure and comfortable. So wanted to make sure I introduced him before we begin.

What is your name, ma'am?

MS. BLEVINS: It's Kelsey Blevins.

THE COURT: Would you spell your name, please.

MS. BLEVINS: Yes. K-e-l-s-e-y, B-l-e-v-i-n-s.

THE COURT: You may inquire.

KELSEY BLEVINS,

called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCWATERS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

25

- Q Good morning, Miss Blevins. What do you do for a living?
- A I'm the manager of forensic services at the Child Abuse
 Network.
 - Q Do you have any other titles or responsibilities there?
 - A I'm also a forensic interviewer there.
- 23 Q And how long have you been in that position?
 - A Since February of 2019.
 - Q As a manager of forensic services, can you describe some

of your responsibilities?

A Yes. So I conduct forensic interviews on some of the most shocking and heinous cases, and I also supervise the forensic interviewing team. I also participate in community education.

Q When you say you supervise the team, can you give us a little bit more background on that?

A Yes. So I supervise a team of four forensic interviewers at the center. So I participate in trainings with them and do peer review and feedback with them on their interviews.

Q Part of your community outreach education, do you put on various trainings for law enforcement? Kind of give us a little flavor of what that entails.

A Yes. We do some trainings for law enforcement on forensic interviewing, and we also do trainings for the community on child abuse and mandated reporting.

Q Can you describe your educational background for the jury?

A Yes. I have a Masters of Science in Forensic Science with an emphasis in forensic psychology, and I have a Bachelors of Science in Psychology with a minor in sociology.

Q All right. Do you have any continuing education requirements for your job?

A Yes. We are required to participate in a minimum of eight hours of continuing education every year.

Q What does that continuing education consist of?

A That consists of online trainings, live-instructor-led trainings, and in-person trainings.

Q What kind of conferences and seminars do you attend to fulfill that requirement?

A So I attend conferences based on child abuse, mandated reporting, forensic interviewing, and other topics in the field, like family dynamics and child sexual abuse.

- Q Do you have to do -- fulfill that requirement every year?
- 10 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- Q Do you have to submit your work to peer review?
- A Yes. Forensic interviewers participate in a formal meeting that's called "peer review." And during peer review, you can present or watch an interview that someone else has conducted so we can receive and give feedback.
- Q How often do you -- how often do you have to participate in peer review?
- A I believe we have to participate in peer review a minimum of two times per year for our accreditation, but at my center, at our site, we participate in national peer review once a month.
- 22 Q So 12 times a year?
- 23 A Yes.
 - Q And for the continuing-education classes that you said that you had to take, you said you had to do eight hours; is

that right?

- A A minimum of eight hours.
- Q And about how many do you do typically per year?
- A I probably do well over 60 hours of continuing education every year.
- Q Are there any prerequisite trainings or certifications that you have to have to become a forensic interviewer?
- A Yes. You have to go through 40 hours of ChildFirst® Protocol Training, which includes mock interviews that you have to participate and pass, and a written test.
- Q How do you pass a mock interview?
- A The other interviewers that are going through the training, as well as the instructors, watch your mock interview, and they grade your skill set and your adherence to the protocol, and they give you a pass or fail grade.
- Q You said you had to take a class on the ChildFirst® Protocol?
- A It's a 40-hour training on ChildFirst® Protocol.
- Q What do you learn through that training?
 - A How to use the ChildFirst® Protocol to interview children that are involved in the child abuse investigation.
 - Q I believe you said this. You have to become certified at the end of that program; is that right?
 - A There's not a certification. It's just passing the 40-hour training, which includes the written test and passing

the mock interview.

Q Are there any accreditations that either you or your office have to have to -- to be con- -- to continue doing what you do to keep current?

A The Child Abuse Network is accredited by the National Children's Alliance, so there's a set of standards that the center, as a whole, has to adhere to, to maintain that accreditation.

- Q All right. What is the National Children's Alliance?
- A The National Children's Alliance is an accrediting body that accredits most of the children's advocacy centers in the state and in the nation.
- Q Do you know what their standards are?
- A There's several standards. Some of them include having a child-friendly setting. Some of the standards are specific to each partner agency involved in all -- our multidisciplinary team. And there are standards specific to forensic interviewing.
- Q It is, like you said, a -- a nationally recognized institution, though?
- A Yes.
 - Q And they have their own internal standards that are reviewed periodically?
 - A They have standards that they participate in reaccreditation with our agency, so they do a site visit every

three years. And we have to update our practices to make sure we're adhering to those standards.

Q Let's move in a little bit on your background as a forensic interviewer. Can you describe for the jury what a forensic interviewer is?

A Yes. A forensic interviewer is someone who is specially trained to talk to a child or a teenager that is involved in a child-abuse investigation, in a way that's not leading or suggestive and focuses on the child's best interests and their development and trauma level throughout the interview.

Q What is the purpose of a forensic interview?

A The purpose of a forensic interview is to get the child's statement in a way that's not leading or suggestive and elimits -- eliminates the need for multiple interviews and eliminates as much suggestibility as possible.

Q You said it eliminates the need for multiple interviews. Is that important?

A Yes. The research shows that multiple interviews can cause the child's statement to sound contrived or rehearsed. It's disrespectful to the victim to make them go through multiple interviews. And it's the most trauma-focused, child-focused way to interview children that are involved in these types of investigations.

- Q Where are forensic interviews typically used?
- A Forensic interviews are conducted in a forensic

interviewing room. At our center, we have two rooms, and they have two-way glass so the child is on one side with the interviewer, and the investigators are on the other side of that two-way glass, and they're able to see and hear the interview as it's taking place.

- Q What's the age range typically for a forensic interview?
- A Between three and seventeen.
- Q And that would be for the -- for the subject, for the interviewee; is that right?
- A Yes. The ChildFirst® Protocol allows us to interview children between the ages of three and seventeen.
- Q What's the goal of a forensic interview?
- A The goal of the forensic interview is to allow the child to have a space that is child-friendly and safe for them to talk about what they may or may not have experienced in a way that's not suggestive or leading and that focuses on their development and their trauma level.
- Q Is the goal to obtain a disclosure from the child of sexual abuse?
- 20 A No.

- Q You said that you use this in -- in cases where there have been allegations. Is there a reason why it's preferred for a forensic interviewer to do this interview as opposed to law enforcement?
- A Yes. So there's a few reasons why. One of them is that

the forensic interviewer is a neutral party. We don't investigate any of the allegations, so we are a neutral party as compared to law enforcement who does investigate.

And also we've gone through extensive training to be able to talk to kids in a way that's developmentally appropriate for them. And that focuses on their best interest.

- Q You said "developmentally appropriate." So does that imply that there are appropriate and inappropriate ways to interview children?
- A Yes. The protocol is the same regardless of the child's age, but there are certain questions that a three-year-old may not be capable of answering as compared to a 17-year-old.
- Q You mentioned the ChildFirst® Protocol that you had training in. Is that a commonly used protocol by forensic interviewers across the country?
- 16 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 17 Q Are there others?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And what do -- do these protocols have things in common?
- A Yes. All of the protocols are more alike than they are different. They all have the same general phases or stages.
- They're just slightly different.
- 23 Q Is the ChildFirst® Protocol periodically updated?
- 24 A Yes.

25

Q When -- when I say "updated," does that mean that --

well, explain to me what you mean by that, that it's updated.

A The ChildFirst® Protocol, I believe, was last updated in 2019, and they just review the steps of the protocol and different ways to use anatomical drawings and things like that.

Q Do you know why they -- why it undergoes that review process?

A In forensic interviewing, there's a lot of science, and there's a lot of art, so a lot of it is about building your skill set as a forensic interviewer. And it's very important to include current research in the process that we use. So they go and update the protocol and the process according to what's new research in the field.

- Q During your career, how many forensic interviews have you participated in?
- A Around 980.
- 16 Q And in those interviews, do you always get a disclosure?
 - A No.

- Q Can you describe a little bit about what when I -- when I say "disclosure" and when you say "disclosure," can you describe to the jury what you mean -- what we mean by that term?
- A Yeah. The term "disclosure," it just means if the child is talking about or disclosing some type of abuse or maltreatment.
 - Q Through your training and experience, have you become

familiar with different types of disclosures? Α Yes. Based on your educational background, your training, 0 experience, have you become knowledgable in the process of victimization and disclosure process of child abuse victims as well as the psychological and physical symptoms displayed by child abuse victims? Α Yes. And have you testified as an expert before? Q Α Yes. On what topics? Q On the process of disclosure and child sexual abuse. Α About how many times approximately? Do you know? Q I've testified twice in state criminal court as an Α expert. MR. MCWATERS: Your Honor, at this time, the Government would like to move to qualify Ms. Blevins as an expert in child and adolescent forensic interviews, sexual abuse disclosures. and victim behavior in response to child abuse. **THE COURT:** The witness is qualified to give testimony pursuant to Rule 702 in those areas.

MR. MCWATERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

(By Mr. McWaters) Ms. Blevins, are you being paid any Q extra to be an expert in this trial today?

Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR REPORTED BY:

- Q Okay. Just -- this is a normal day at the office for you?
- A Yes. This is just part of my job.
- Q Let's talk a little bit about childhood responses to sexual abuse. Is there a single way that children react or respond to sexual abuse?
- A No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Why is that?
- A Every child is different, and every case is different. So the reactions can be very widely varied.
- Q What are some of the -- the reasons why those can be widely varied, those -- those responses?
- A There's a lot of external and internal factors that can influence a child's response. Some of those can include fear, shame, guilt, having been threatened by the offender, not having a supportive caregiver believe them when they do disclose. There are many factors that can influence the child's response.
- Q I think you already answered this, but when you conduct a forensic interview, are you affirmatively trying to elicit a disclosure in that interview?
- 22 A No.
- Q And is it possible for a child to react to sexual abuse by not disclosing?
- 25 A Yes.

```
Q Why -- why would that be?
```

A Again, every child and every case is different. The process of disclosure is not a one-time event. It's an evolving process. So if a child was undergoing a forensic interview, they may or may not disclose.

Q So let's talk a little bit about disclosure. You already gave the jury a definition of what a disclosure is. What is the -- the process of disclosures? Are there different phases? Like, give us a little bit more of a holistic background of what a disclosure is.

A Yes. So the process of disclosure is an evolving process and not a one-time event. There are some phases of the disclosure. There's denial. There's tentative disclosure, active disclosure, recantation, and reaffirmation.

- Q Do all children go through each of those phases?
- 16 A Not necessarily.
 - Q Do they go through them in that order?
- 18 A Not necessarily.
 - Q Do they go -- do they always go through any of those processes?
 - A Not necessarily.
 - Q Are there -- so we talked about the phases in the process of disclosure. Are there different types of disclosures?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What are some of those types?

A So the two main types of disclosure are accidental disclosures and purposeful disclosures. An accidental disclosure, an example would be if the abuse is uncovered inadvertently through perhaps STI testing or a diagnosis of a sexually transmitted disease.

And then a purposeful disclosure is where the child is purposefully or actively telling someone that something has happened.

- Q When you say -- so another way, I guess, to -- to put a purposeful disclosure is it's -- it's -- comes from the child itself as opposed from some other external factor; is that right?
- A Yes.

- Q Is there -- are there any other types of disclosures?
- A Those are the two main types. But the phases of disclosure also talk about active disclosures and tentative disclosures.

An active disclosure is a lot like purposeful. The child is actively talking about the details they can remember about what's happened. They may not remember all of the details, but they're actively giving what they can remember.

And a tentative disclosure is where the child's giving vague information or pieces of information to test and see what the reaction is going to be to their disclosure, to see if they're going to be supported or believed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

minimizing the conduct?

Yes.

Will different types of disclosures result in -- in Q different effects on the child? Α It can, yes. How will those manifest? 0 So, for example, in a tentative disclosure, if the child is giving vague information to someone and they are not supportive or they are critical of the offender or they don't believe them, it may cause the child to then recant, which is another phase of the process. And recantation just means the child's taking it back and saying, "It didn't happen." If -- if a child has a tentative disclosure and then --Q to someone who's not necessarily an authority figure, just a friend, and then that's reported, can that also -- reported to an authority figure, can that have an effect on the child? Can you repeat the question? Α If -- if a child discloses to a friend and then that Q disclosure's made to an authority figure, like an agency or something, would that have an effect on the child? Yes, because in the tentative disclosure phase, they're testing the waters to see what might happen if they do disclose. So it may not have been their intention for anyone beyond that friends that they were telling to know about it. Can -- can a child react to a potential disclosure by Q

Q Can you explain what it means for a child to minimize abuse?

A Yes. So "minimizing," in this field, that term is a characteristic of tentative disclosures. So minimizing, or an example of minimizing, would be, "It only happened one time," or "It's not that big of a deal."

There's other characteristics of tentative disclosures as well, like forgetting. Just the child saying they forget.

There's also empowerment, or sometimes it's called "superhero," where the child might make statements such as, "And then I punched him in the face and ran away," and things like that.

So there's other characteristics of a tentative disclosure.

Q Okay. Could possible aspects of -- of minimizing, could it be that it was an accident or -- or taking responsibility for the abuse?

MS. BROWN: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: The counsel's entitled to some degree of leading in questioning an expert. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

Q (By Mr. McWaters) Sure. If -- if -- is one aspect of minimizing by a -- by a child, can it be that they are taking responsibility for it or saying that it was an accident?

A Yes, that could be a characteristic. Saying it was an accident could also be a form of another characteristic of tentative disclosure, which is discounting.

Q When children are sexually abused, do they all disclose in the same way?

A No.

Q In your training and experience, do children sometimes delay disclosing or not disclose sexual abuse?

A Yes.

Q Why are some of the reasons for that?

A So some of the reasons for a delayed disclosure are very similar to the other influences on the process of disclosure. So fear, shame, guilt. They may have been threatened. They may not be aware or understand that what happened to them was abusive. There's a lot of factors that can influence them not telling immediately after it happens.

A lot of the research shows that most children delay disclosure. And most of the time, if they don't delay disclosure, it's because the perpetrator is a total stranger to them, someone that they don't know or love or care about because in 90 percent of cases, the offender is someone that they know and love.

Q So let me -- let's unpack that for the jury a little bit. You're saying that it's actually more common for there to be a delayed disclosure when it's someone that the victim knows?

A Yes.

Q You also mentioned something about a child potentially forgetting or -- or -- about the abuse. Would -- would that

also affect the child's memory of the abuse?

A So when we are talking about forgetting, that's another characteristic of the tentative disclosure. And remembering that the tentative disclosure is where the child's giving vague information or pieces of information to test the waters.

They may say, "I forgot," or they might discount and say, "It's not that big of a deal," or they might minimize and say, "It only happened one time." So forgetting is a characteristic of the tentative disclosure.

- Q Would you expect a child to be able to tell you the exact dates on which an abuse occurred?
- A No.

- Q And why not?
- A That would be an unrealistic expectation even for an adult, especially in cases of long-term abuse. If it has been going on for a long time, they're not likely to be able to remember much more than the very first time it happened, the last or most recent time it happened, and anything in between that was different.

In cases of a one-time event that's not long-term abuse, it's just not realistic to expect a child or an adult to be able to remember every single detail about the day, time, and place because that's just not how memory works.

Q Is there a -- a typical person that a child will disclose to or is it -- is it kind of random?

```
A Not necessarily. It just depends on who the child feels safe or comfortable with in telling their disclosure to.

Q You said that disclosures of sexual abuse are influenced
```

- Q You said that disclosures of sexual abuse are influenced by a lot of different factors. You -- and you said that a lot of them are internal factors; is that right?
- A Yes.

- Q What do you mean by an internal factor?
- A So internal factors could be the child's development, the severity of abu- -- the abuse they experienced and the trauma level that they have following that abuse. It could be a linguistic barrier. That would be an internal factor if there's -- they speak a different language or developmentally they don't have the language to talk about what happened.
- Q Would the relationship to the abuser affect dis- -- be an internal factor, or would that be something different?
- A I would consider relationship to the offender as an external factor, but it is a factor that can influence the disclosure.
- Q Well, let me be a little more specific. When I say "the relationship," not necessarily just the external relationship, but how the -- the victim feels about the abuser.
- A Yes.
- 23 Q Is that "yes," that would be a factor that would --
- 24 A Yes, that would --
 - Q -- affect disclosure?

A Yes, that would be a factor.

Q So for -- for external factors, what -- what are some of those factors that would affect how a child responds to sexual abuse?

A So external factors would be things like some of the reasons children delay disclosure is because they may be aware of the family dynamics and how they are going to change if they do disclose. They might be concerned that they're going to be removed from their home by child welfare and placed into the foster care system.

They may be ready to disclose because they're concerned something is going to happen to a sibling or a friend. There's lots of external factors that could influence their disclosure.

- Q Would it be unusual for a child to be around the abuser and act like nothing happened?
- A No.

- Q Do sexually abused children have certain behaviors?
- 18 A Not necessarily.
 - Q How might the relationship a child has with her abuser impact disclosure?

A So most of the time, the child knows and cares about the offender, whether it's a family member or a family friend.

They have a relationship where they care about that person, and they like the relationship. They just don't like what that person is doing to them. So those things can affect their

willingness to disclose.

They may be worried that something bad is going to happen to the offender. They might be concerned that they're going to be hurt or someone else might be hurt as a result of their disclosure.

They might feel guilty for talking about it because they've been told by the offender, you know, "Bad things will happen if you talk about it." There's all kinds of factors that can influence that.

Q Based -- let's talk -- move away from just the initial disclosure to reasons why disclosures can be delayed. Based on your -- your training and experience, is it common for victims to delay disclosure of sexual abuse?

A Yes.

Q And I believe you already testified earlier that it -it's actually common for a victim who has a close relationship
with the abuser to delay disclosure; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Is there a reason for that?

A They may be protecting the offender. They may be concerned that something bad is going to happen if they do disclose. They may be in the denial phase of disclosure where they just don't want to admit that it's happened.

They could be threatened by that offender. You know, "You're going to get in trouble if you say anything." There's

a lot of factors that can influence it.

Q How does age play a factor in the process of delayed disclosures?

A So in delayed disclosures, younger kids in particular sometimes may not be aware that's what's happening to them is abuse, and so they don't disclose because they don't know that there's any reason to. And older kids may have a greater understanding of the family dynamics.

They may be more aware that the offender is the primary breadwinner for the home, and therefore, their finances might be affected if that person were to go to jail. So an older child might have a better understanding of those types of things.

Q Let's move on to discuss internal distress and trauma in children in sexual abuse. Can the trauma of abuse also impact disclosure?

A Yes.

Q How?

A The child's trauma level can definitely impact disclosure. They may be in a dissociating phase of the disclosure, the tentative disclosure process, where they might talk about, you know, "When he touches me, I go to the blue forest."

It can affect their memory. Trauma can affect your memory and make it difficult to remember minute details about

what happened or be able to explain in detail about what happened.

Their trauma level is something that we try to focus on whenever they're participating in a forensic interview because we wouldn't want to create more trauma. We were trying to -- we're trying to minimize the trauma to that child and to that family during the process.

- Q So that goes back to something you said earlier that you want to minimize the number of forensic interviews; right?
- A Yes.

- Q So and I believe you said that the goal is for only there to be one forensic interview?
- A Yes, the goal is for there to only be one. But in some cases, the ChildFirst® Protocol does allow for an extended interview, where it occurs over multiple sessions.
- Q And why would -- why would there be a need for multiple interviews?
- A The need for that, one of the reasons could be the child's trauma level. During the forensic interview, if they are disclosing and they become very upset or emotional and that -- the trauma level is affecting their ability to disclose, then the interviewer may choose to end the interview and continue it in a second session so that we're making sure we're still putting the child first and focusing on what's in their best interest.

Q But if there are no issue -- you said if there are issues with disclosure. If there are no issues with the disclosure, is it common for there to be a second interview?

A No, it's uncommon. It does happen, but they're -- it happens under extenuating circumstances.

Q How is the disclosure process affected by delayed disclosure if someone is disclosing something that's happened months or even years in the past?

A So again, it can affect memory. We typically ask children about the first time something happened, the last or most recent time, and anything different in between because those are the moments that are going to stand out the most in their memory, particularly in cases of delayed disclosure where the event may have happened six or seven years ago and you're asking them to recall details of events that occurred many years past. So we would ask those things to try to mitigate the issues of memory.

Q Are there psychological symptoms of a victim of -- that a victim of child sexual abuse may display?

A In some cases, yes.

Q And what are some of the -- the common symptoms?

A So in my training and experience, I often speak with kids who are experiencing self-harming and suicidal ideation. I've also seen kids that have had to be institutionalized or hospitalized in psychiatric facilities because they're

- struggling with PTSD or anxiety. So there can be a number of psychological effects.
- Q Based on your training and experience, when victims of sexual abuse are disclosing or talking about their abuse, do they all respond in the same way?
- A No, not necessarily.
- Q And why is that?

- A Again, because every kid and every case is different.
- Q When a child is forced to talk about sexual abuse, are there certain responses you might expect, based on your training and experience?
- A I wouldn't -- I wouldn't necessarily expect certain responses because in my training and experience and in my work that I do in the field, I'm not in a position where I would force a child to disclose. So I'm not familiar with the characteristics that one might see in that situation.
- Q All right, Miss Blevins. Let's talk a little bit about the -- the case today here. Were you working at -- as a forensic interviewer on May 29th, 2020?
- A Yes.
 - Q And did you conduct a forensic interview of Kaitlyn Goldesberry on that day?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q How old was she on the -- on that date?
- 25 A I believe she was 15, but I may not have that correct.

- Q If I said she was 14, does that sound right?
- 2 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q How long had you been a forensic interviewer at this point?
 - A Since February of 2019.
 - Q So that's a little over a year?
- A Yes.
 - Q Have you reviewed the forensic interview in preparation for your testimony here today?
- $10 \parallel A$ Yes.
- 11 Q After your re- -- after reviewing that -- that video, 12 that interview, are there things that you might do differently 13 today, sitting here today with -- with additional training and 14 experience?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Would some of those changes have affected Kaitlyn's disclosure?
- 18 A They may have, and they may not have.
- 19 Q Where did the interview take place?
- 20 A At the CAC.
- Q And just for us who aren't -- might not be familiar with that acronym, can you tell us again what that is?
- 23 A Yes. CAC stands for the Children's Advocacy Center.
- It's also known as the Child Abuse Network, and that's where I'm employed.

Q And where is that?

A At 31St and Sheridan.

Q And I believe you said earlier that forensic interviews take place in particular rooms. Can you describe the -- the rooms that you guys use?

A Yes. The forensic interview takes place in a forensic interview room. And it's set up to be child-friendly. There's two-way glass so that the investigators that we're working with can sit behind the glass and observe the forensic interview as it's occurring.

Forensic interviewers also wear an earpiece in their ear so that the investigator can ask them to ask questions, and then it's the interviewer's job to ask those questions in a way that's not leading or suggestive. The forensic interview is also audio and video recorded, and that's standard practice in the field.

Q All right. You said that you had a chance to -- to go over and look at the video, and you said that there might be some things that you would do differently. Can you explain to us a little bit, briefly, what some of those things would have been?

A Yes. There is no such thing as a foren- -- perfect forensic interview. And part of the process of being a forensic interviewer involves constantly building your skill set. So in this case, there's a lot of things that I might do

differently.

I might phrase certain questions differently or try to explore more details about what she was disclosing. And that's true of a lot of cases that forensic interviewers are a part of. It's just an evolving process of building your skill set and building your training.

Q You told us what you would have done differently. Is there a reason why you -- you -- looking back, you realize, oh, I should have done this differently?

A Just that I -- it's been two years since I conducted the interview, and I've been through many, many trainings since then. And I've conducted many hundreds of interviews since that time. So my skill set has advanced, and I'm -- I do some things differently than I might have done two years ago.

Q At the time in May of 2020, you were a -- a certified or a registered or whatever forensic interviewer; is that right?

A Yes. At that time, I had completed the 40-hour ChildFirst® Protocol training.

Q And do you recall about how many forensic interviews you had completed at that point?

A I don't recall how many.

Q Now, you said that you were in the -- that you were in the -- at the -- the CAC in one of these rooms that was audio and video recorded, and that's standard practice; is that right?

```
Yes.
Α
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

25

- And you were in one of these rooms for Kaitlyn Q Goldesberry's interview?
- Α Yes.
- Did Kaitlyn know that she was being observed and 0 recorded?
- Α Yes.
 - Is that also standard practice? Q
- 9 Α Yes.
- 10 Is there a reason why you -- you let the -- the Q interviewee know those things? 11
 - It's meant to be child first and child focused. That's the overriding principle of the forensic interview and the forensic interviewing protocol. So in keeping with that, we wouldn't want to keep any secrets from the child.
 - We make sure out in the lobby before they ever come into the room that they're aware that they will be video and audio recorded and that the investigators will be watching.
- 19 what information, if any, did you have before you Q began -- began the interview?
- Just very little information. Basic allegations of 21 22 sexual abuse.
- 23 Is there a reason for that? Q
 - The forensic interviewer is meant to be a neutral Α party. So when going into a forensic interview, we don't want

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR REPORTED BY:

- to have a whole lot of information about the case because our job is to remain neutral and non-suggestive. So we typically learn from the investigators what the allegations are and then go into the interview with that information.
- Q What method of interviewing did you use to interview Kaitlyn back in 2020?
- A ChildFirst® Protocol.
- Q And again, I think you've already answered this, but what type of questions did you use during the interview?
- 10 A Open-ended, non-leading questions.
- 11 Q And you said that you reviewed the interview before your 12 testimony today?
- 13 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 Q In part of the interview, did Kaitlyn make a disclosure 15 of sexual abuse to you?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And just to circle back, that's not the purpose of the interview; is that right?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q But she did make a disclosure to you?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And what did she disclose?
- A She disclosed that her father touched her on her vagina on her skin outside and inside her body.
- 25 Q And how did -- did Kaitlyn act or appear during the

interview?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A During the interview, her disclosure was very matter-of-fact, but she was also protective of the alleged offender and a bit defensive. And she was exhibiting some of those characteristics of tentative disclosure, like minimizing and discounting.

- Q Were there any physiological observations that you made of -- of Kaitlyn?
- A No.
- Q What about her -- her general demeanor?
- A Her general demeanor, again, was just matter-of-fact about the disclosure she was making, but she was a bit defensive and was kind of exhibiting some of those characteristics.
- Q Did she seem to want to be there?
 - A She made statements about things having been blown out of proportion and feeling like it wasn't that big of a deal. I don't recall her explicitly saying she didn't want to be there.
- 19 Q Did she ever change what she said?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Did she contradict herself?
- 22 A No.
- Q Did she use terminology consistent with a 14-year-old?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And is it your job to form any type of judgment about the

allegations?

A No.

Q In your education, training, and experience, are there any additional impressions of Kaitlyn's demeanor during the interview?

A Just that she was exhibiting those characteristics, and she was making statements about feeling like it wasn't that big of a deal. But as far as the disclosure piece, as I've seen in many cases that I've been part of, the disclosure itself was just very matter-of-fact about what happened.

O How did the interview end?

A At the end of the protocol, the last stage is closure. So what we do is assess for safety with the child, who they feel safe with, who they could talk to if they didn't feel safe. And then we return the child to a neutral state by talking about a neutral topic. And then we close out the interview.

Q All right. Thank you, Miss Blevins. I'm -- I'm finished questioning, but Miss Brown may have some questions for you.

MR. MCWATERS: I pass the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Brown, you may inquire.

MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. BROWN:

Q Miss Blevins, I believe that there's been multiple

```
references to your last name being Hess for the jury. You're the same person as Kelsey Hess; correct?
```

- A Yes, ma'am. I got married.
- Q Just to clarify. Thank you. And congratulations.
- A Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

21

22

25

- Q The ChildFirst® 40-hour training that you referenced in direct examination, that's not a training that is limited to or only available to forensic interviewers; correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Prosecutors go to that training?
- 11 A Yes, I believe so.
- 12 Q I've been to that training?
- 13 A I'm unaware.
- 14 Q You're familiar with my background; correct?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Oh, okay.
- 17 Law enforcement officers go to that training?
- 18 A I believe so, yes.
 - Q So it's not something that's special or unique to forensic interviewers. It's available to anybody in the area that deals with possibly talking to children about abuse of some kind?
- A It's available to individuals that work in the field, yes.
 - Q Basically did you go to the -- did you go to the one in

the Oklahoma City? Did you go in Tulsa?

A I went to Norman.

Q Norman? Okay. So you go up to Norman for a week with a handful of other people. It could be a dozen. It could be 20 or more people in any given class; correct?

A I don't recall how many people were in my class.

Q And you sit through some lectures and some talks, and then they give you a little bit of homework at night. And then at the end of the week, somebody pretends to be a child. You don't really interview a real child for your qualifying interview; correct?

A No. You do a mock interview with someone who does pretend to be a child, and then we also do an interview on a real child that's limited to the narrative event practice.

Q So for example, a -- a class of children will go to the zoo and have a field trip that's a real, actual field trip for them. And then you're not interviewing them about sexual abuse. You're interviewing them about the experiences they have at the zoo; correct?

A In my case, the children were at a school, and someone from a zoo brought animals. And then we interviewed them about that event and that event only in the narrative event practice format.

Q Okay. And then at the end, there's a written test; correct?

```
A Yes.
```

- Q And that's just pass, fail; correct?
- A Yes.
- Q You have, as you said, only been conducting forensic interviews at the Child Abuse Network since February of 2019. But being a forensic interviewer at CAN is your very first professional job; correct?
- A Yes.
 - Q Prior to doing what you do now, you were just a nanny; correct?
 - A I was in graduate school. And while I was in graduate school, I nannied, but I also worked as a research assistant, and I also worked as a teaching assistant for the university.
 - Q When you interview children at the -- at the Child Abuse Network, you are interviewing children involving topics of a much broader variety than just child sexual abuse; correct?
 - A When we conduct interviews at the Child Abuse Network, we're interviewing about all possible forms of abuse and maltreatment and family dynamics.
 - Q The Child Abuse Network forensic interviewers can interview children about physical abuse; correct?
- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Exposure to pornography?
- 24 A Yes.
 - Q Exposure to drugs and alcohol abuse?

A Yes.

- Q Exposure to domestic violence?
- A Yes.
 - Q If a child witnesses a crime, they can be forensically interviewed; correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And so in the 900 or so interviews you've conducted to date, not all of those involved interviewing children about allegations of sexual abuse; correct?
- A Yes.
 - Q You are, of course, aware that ChildFirst® Protocol that you've discussed is a relatively new forensic interview protocol, at least for the Child Abuse Network; correct?
 - A I know that at the Child Abuse Network, the interviewers that have been employed there over the last 15 years have used Child -- the ChildFirst® Protocol.
 - Q You're not aware that in just a few of the past years, they were using the CornerHouse RATAC method?
 - A So I am aware of some interviewers there having used the CornerHouse RATAC method. But, again, the protocols are all much more alike than they are different, and so they still utilize the same general concepts and the same research behind each protocol and the same general phases.
 - Q And -- and so speaking of the general phases, whether you're talking about ChildFirst® or you're talking about RATAC,

you're going to open your interview, like you said, with rapport building, getting to know you; correct?

A Correct.

Q Whether you're talking to a three-year-old or a seventeen-year-old, you want to make sure the child is comfortable talking to you before you get into the nitty-gritty of why they're there; correct?

A It's not necessarily my job to make sure or verify that they're comfortable, but the purpose of rapport is to help build their comfort level and to help us identify their development and their ability to narrate.

Q So for several minutes -- and it -- and it depends on a particular interview, right? -- but for up to, you know, anywhere from 5 to 20 or 30 minutes, you can talk to them about what they like about school, what they do in their free time, what they are doing over summer break or Christmas break, animals that they have in the house, interests that they have. Just before you even start talking about the allegations; correct?

A Correct. The first phase of the protocol is rapport building. And during rapport building, we may ask questions about their interests, the last time they went on a trip, things like that.

And then in doing that, we're also participating in narrative event practice, which the purpose of narrative event

practice is just to identify their ability to narrate an event from beginning to end.

Q Then at some point you transition, and different protocols call it different things, but for lack of a better phrase, into some sort of inquiry as to the reason that they're there; right? You ask them if they've ever experienced any touches or anything that makes them feel uncomfortable or anything like that, in a sexual abuse case; right?

A We do what's called the transition to topic of concern.

Asking about sexual abuse related questions comes a little bit later, and that's called the touch inquiry.

But in the transition of topic -- the transition to topic of concern is where we do what's called an open invitation and ask the child something similar to, "What do you know about coming to talk to me today," or "Tell me, like, what's been going on lately," so that we're giving them the opportunity to talk about things in an open-ended way.

Q And it serves a dual purpose as well. In addition to giving them an opportunity to tell you in their own words, you know, what they know about why they're there, it also allows the investigators on the other side of the mirror, right, and -- and people like myself and the prosecutors who look at the video later, to see if the child discloses any kind of negative influences or, you know, "I was told I was here today because I'm supposed to tell such and such did such and such,"

versus, for example, in Kaitlyn's interview, where when you asked her what her -- what she'd been told prior to coming in, she was told to be honest; correct?

A I don't recall the specific part of the interview that you're referencing. But as far as the investigators and how they're interpreting what the child is saying, that's out of my scope of practice.

Q Because once you're finished with your interview with a child, in most cases when there's not a second interview, you never see or hear from that child again; correct?

A Correct.

Q In this particular situation with Kaitlyn Goldesberry, you interviewed Kaitlyn Goldesberry on May 29th of 2020, and you never saw or talked to her since; correct?

A Correct.

Q You don't follow the case to see if criminal charges are filed or if DHS does anything with the children's family; correct?

A Correct.

Q That's not part of your job?

A Correct.

Q You would agree with me that no matter what protocol you're using, but including ChildFirst®, it is a loosely based guidelines for interviewing a child. It's not a rigid protocol, you have to step -- follow Step 1, Step 2, Step 3;

correct?

- A Correct. The protocol is semi-structured.
- Q And by "semi-structured," that means a lot of recommendations; correct?
- A To me, "semi-structured" means that you don't have to follow the protocol necessarily in order, step-by-step. It's meant to be fluid so that if you're in that open invitation during the transition of topic of concern and the child just starts to disclose, you can follow the child because the overriding principle of the protocol is to focus on the child and their best interest.
- Q Different interviewers may interpret or implement those recommendations or guidelines in the protocol in different ways. Would you agree?
- A Correct. Different interviewers may have different -- a different skill set. They -- their art, so to speak, may be different. But all interviewers should be following an evidence-based protocol.
- Q You, as an interview- -- viewer, get to use your own judgment in a lot of ways; right?
- A Yes.
- Q In fact, like you said on direct, there are times when the investigators on the other side of the mirror would like you to ask a question. They tell you in your earpiece.

And then you decide in your mind without saying anything

out loud that that's not an appropriate question based on your training and experience, and either decide not to ask that question or to try to rephrase it; correct?

A Correct.

Q But you also would admit that, having looked back at your

Q But you also would admit that, having looked back at your interview two years later, there were several things you would do differently; correct?

A I would admit that there are things that I may have done differently compared to two years ago, and there may be things from six months ago that I might do differently.

Q Because relatively speaking, back in May of 2020, you didn't have a ton of experience, certainly not as much as you have now?

A In May of 2020, I did not have as much experience as I have now.

Q You mentioned in direct examination with Mr. McWaters that, as the current manager at the Child Abuse Network, or the CAC, CAN -- CAN or -- or Child Advocacy Center, that you specifically currently interview a lot of the cases that involve allegations that are shocking and heinous; correct?

A Correct.

Q Shocking and heinous can be a number of things, but those words kind of are self-defining. Would you agree?

A I agree that those words can mean a lot of different things. And I interview on the shocking and heinous cases as

well as cases that are not shocking or heinous.

Q And you would agree with me that this interview and these allegations that you were talking to Kaitlyn about don't fall under the category of shocking and heinous; correct?

A That's outs- -- outside of my scope of practice. I don't determine if something is shocking or heinous.

But when an interview is scheduled at the center, if it's particularly -- involves particularly advanced skills, like presenting evidence in the interview, or if it involves training -- the need for training on child sex trafficking, things like that, then I would do that interview myself as the most experienced interviewer at the CAC.

Q The current position that you occupy, you took over for Jessica Stombaugh; correct?

A No. Jessica Stombaugh's position was a program director.

My position is a new position at the center.

Q You would agree that previous forensic interviewers prior to your starting, like Jessica Stombaugh, Ali Kern, David Glanz, Amy Howard, Melissa Gantz, they all have more experience than you in this area; correct?

MR. MCWATERS: Objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q (By Ms. Brown) You've only testified two times in court before today; correct?

A No.

```
Isn't that what you said on direct?
Q
```

I've testified twice as an expert witness in court, but Α I've testified as a fact witness probably ten times.

0 Okay. And so by fact witness, you're just talking about the interview that you did like in this case, Kaitlyn's interview, and not giving your opinions about the process of disclosure, and educating the jury about tentative disclosures versus active disclosures and a lot of the things that you went over with Mr. McWaters; correct?

Α Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The amount of time that you spent specifically with Q Kaitlyn Goldesberry on May 29th of 2020, was about 60 minutes; correct?

I believe it was around 50 to 60 minutes, yes. Α

And in that 50 to 60 minutes, that included the period of time that you talked to her about school, what she likes to do, what she likes to read, all the different animals that she has in her home, her sugar gliders, her dogs, her cat Smokey that died. You guys discussed *Twilight*, *Harry Potter*, and everybody that lives in the home; correct?

Α Yes.

Q And all of that occurred before you ever started talking about what happened between Kaitlyn and her dad in the bed; correct?

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK

LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR

I believe so.

REPORTED BY:

25

Q And in that 50 to 60 minutes, that also encompassed a period of approximately four minutes when Kaitlyn needed to go to the bathroom, so you gave her an opportunity, because if you put the child first, to be comfortable before resuming the interview; correct?

A I'm unaware of how long it was that she was in the restroom, but yes, she took a break to go use the bathroom.

Q And, of course, for purposes of anybody that's looking at the DVD later, including yourself, you don't stop the recording. You just -- the recording starts before you ever get in the room. And then all the coming and going of going to the bathroom and coming back in, the video is continuing to play; correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So basically there's nothing you're hiding. It's very transparent; correct?

A It's just part of standard practice. If the child is going to take a break because they need to use the restroom or they just are feeling overwhelmed, whatever the reason is, it's just standard practice to leave the recording going.

Q That 50 to 60 minutes total that you spent with Kaitlyn in May of 2020, also includes the time, like you discussed, where you do closure, as well as returning to a neutral topic to make the child comfortable and make sure the child feels safe before the interview is over; correct?

A Yes.

Q So it would be fair to say that in the 50 to 60 minutes that you took -- talked to her entire -- in its entirety, and including the bathroom break, all the rapport building and -- and getting to know you, the closure, and return to neutral, all of that right there in the middle was just the inquiry about what happened in the bedroom with -- with Mr. Goldesberry and Kaitlyn; correct?

A I'm unaware of exactly how much time we spent covering the topic of concern or the disclosure.

Q I'm sorry, my question was probably a bad question.

Specifically that 50 to 60 minutes was not exploring the disclosure the entire time. The 50 to 60 minutes was the entire time you were with Kaitlyn from beginning to end, including the break, rapport, closure, and return to neutral topic; correct?

- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Thank you.

You discussed with Mr. McWaters the -- the phases that a child can go through when'd -- when disclosing sexual abuse; correct?

- A Yes.
- Q And you talked about how a child can be in denial or outright deny that abuse has occurred even though it has; correct?

A Yes, that can happen.

Q And it can happen that a child will tentatively disclose, what we call dipping a toe in the water, giving some details but not all the details, or saying it happened one time when it really happened more than one time, but that doesn't always happen; correct?

A Correct. It can happen in some cases and not in others.

Q You talked about recantation where a child discloses some kind of abuse and then sometimes takes it back. That also doesn't always happen; correct?

A Correct. It can happen in some cases and in others not.

Q You talked about accidental versus purposeful disclosures. Accident or can -- excuse me -- accidental can be anything, like literally the abuse is in the process of happening and Mom comes home and catches them; correct?

A Correct. An accidental disclosure is where the abuse is uncovered or identified by accident, and that can be a number of scenarios.

Q But you would agree with me that the phases of disclosure, whether a child ever goes through denial or ever tentatively discloses, whether a child actively discloses or possibly recants, that all assumes that sexual abuse or abuse occurred; correct?

A Not necessarily.

Q Let me ask a different question.

In order for a child to disclose sexual abuse, that child would have had to have been sexually abused; correct?

A Not necessarily.

- Q Okay. You're saying children can make it up?
- A It's unlikely that a child would make up or fabricate. And in this case, there was no motive to fabricate identified in the interview. But the research does show that that can happen. But it doesn't always happen. And it's unlikely for it to happen.
- Q I think you're not understanding my question. What I'm -- what I'm talking about is when we talk about the process of disclosure, this series of things that the research indicates can happen but doesn't always happen, that process of disclosure, if a child is disclosing sexual abuse tentatively, that's because they were sexually abused; correct? It assumes that abuse occurred.

A Not necessarily. The process of disclosure is just a set of characteristics or factors that influence or could influence what the child is saying, and it's not always related to sexual abuse. It might be related to physical abuse. It — the process is not a diagnostic tool. It's not meant to identify whether or not abuse occurred.

It's just meant to explain certain characteristics that a child might be showing when they are disclosing, not necessarily that their disclosure is factual or true. It's

just meant to explain those characteristics and those factors that might influence the disclosure that's being made.

- Q Okay. Let me ask you this. You talked about how children can minimize, but they don't always; correct?
- A Correct.

- Q For example, a minimization could be saying that it only happened one time; correct?
 - A Correct. That could be an example.
- Q Alternatively it could actually have only happened one time, so that wouldn't be minimizing. It would just be the reality that that child experienced; correct?
- A That is a possibility. But that characteristic of minimizing isn't meant to determine whether or not it did happen one time or more than one time. It's just meant to explain why something like that might occur or a child might make statements like that in an interview or in the process of disclosure.
- Q Okay. Another example would be, as far as possible examples of minimizing, a child saying that it was an accident; correct?
- A Correct. That could be a possible example.
- Q For example, a child is showering; right? And a male that is not an appropriate person to see the child in the nude intentionally comes into the bathroom and pulls the curtain back, but then after, you know, observing the child or what

have you, tries to convince the child that he didn't know she was in the shower; right?

That could be an example of something appearing to be an accident when we know that it wasn't; correct?

A That will depend on the disclosure that the child is making.

Q Right. I'm telling you the disclosure that the child's making. That would be an example of minimizing; correct?

A It could be an example of minimizing. It could also be an example of discounting. Those two things are very similar characteristics of a tentative disclosure. But again, it would depend on their disclosure and what phase of the disclosure process they're in because those characteristics only describe the tentative disclosure phase.

Q Alternatively if a child comes in for a forensic interview and discloses to you that they were on the toilet, completely naked about to get in the shower but hadn't turned the shower on, and a male adult walked into the bathroom and observed them, that could actually be an accident; correct?

A As the interviewer, it wouldn't be my job to determine if it was an accident or not an accident. It would just be my job to listen to the child's statement and whatever they're disclosing, and it's not my job to confront the child's perception of what happened.

Q Correct. So when you're here testifying as an expert

about the various things that can happen when a child is disclosing sexual abuse, including tentative disclosures, including minimizing, you're not here offering an opinion specifically about Kaitlyn's disclosure, are you?

A Correct. Because in this case, I conducted the interview for Kaitlyn. And as a forensic interviewer, we -- it's not our job to have an opinion or to identify if the child's telling the truth or not telling the truth. It's just our job to ask the questions in a way that's not leading or suggestive and follow the protocol.

Q So you testified on direct examination with Mr. McWaters, you said 90 percent of cases are someone that the child knows and loves; correct? When talking about sexual abuse; correct?

- A Correct.
- Q And that's based on research in the field; correct?
- 16 A Correct.

Q Not your own personal necessary experience. You're not tallying each time or anything. It's just research in the field; correct?

A Correct. That comes from a large body of research in the field. And in my training and experience, I'm not tallying each time, but overwhelmingly the number of interviews that I've conducted, most of the time the alleged perpetrator is someone that the child knows and cares about, both in cases of sexual abuse and physical abuse or other forms of abuse or

maltreatment.

Q And specifically with that statistic that you gave the jury, the 90 percent of cases where someone the child knows and loves is the perpetrator, that research that you're relying on for that statistic, the research in the field is based on confirmed cases of sexual abuse; correct?

A I believe it's based on what's called substantiated cases of abuse. I'm unaware if it's based solely on sexual abuse. But it's based on cases that are substantiated, which is just a word that essentially means that they were found to be true or accurate.

Q And when these cases are found to be true or accurate, that's past the time that the child's ever in the Child Abuse Network with you. It's when DHS makes a finding or a criminal Court or jury makes a finding substantiating or confirming abuse; correct?

A I'm unaware of exactly when the substantiations occur, but it does occur following the interview because the forensic interview is typically the first step in the investigation.

Q You testified with Mr. McWaters on direct that it's unreasonable to expect a child or even an -- an adult to recall a date or time when an event occurred; correct?

A Correct.

Q And that's just, again, based on the research in the field as to trauma, specifically any kind of trauma, and

pertaining specifically to sexual abuse; correct?

A Not necessarily pertaining just to sexual abuse, but memory in general. The example most used would be in term -- in cases of long-term sexual abuse where if you were to ask a child to be able to recount every detail of every time it happened, every place it happened, and everything that happened, that's considered unrealistic because, even for an adult in a healthy relationship, like a marriage, for example, it would be unrealistic to ask how many times that adult in their marital relationship had had sexual relations with their partner, where at, when did it happen, how many times, and all the details of what happened.

It's just not a realistic expectation for memory in general, whether trauma occurred or not.

- Q But you would agree with me that a traumatic event can affect a child's memory?
- A Yes.

- Q And as well as an adult's?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q Even if an -- an act or an event occurs that doesn't necessarily rise to the level of a crime, that act or event can still be traumatic for the child; correct?
 - A Correct. Every child is different. Every case is different. And trauma can affect people differently. So an act wouldn't necessarily have to be criminal to feel

traumatizing to a child.

Q When you were discussing with Mr. McWaters on direct that there might be some differences were you to conduct Kaitlyn Goldesberry's interview today with the additional training and experience that you have versus two years ago in May of 2020, you mentioned that one of the things you might do differently would be explore more details with Kaitlyn; correct?

A Correct.

- Q And one of those details potentially might be exploring more of the conversation that occurred after the event that happened in the bed between Kaitlyn and her father; correct?
- A It may have been.
 - Q When you testified on direct examination that going into your interview with Kaitlyn, you only knew the bare bones basic allegations, essentially that there was an allegation of sexual abuse; correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q You didn't have police reports. They hadn't even been generated yet; correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Law enforcement wasn't even there. It was just DHS, Brenna Gusman; correct?
 - A I'm unaware if law enforcement was involved, but I do know that Brenna Gusman was the DHS worker involved.
 - Q And Ms. Gusman was on the other side of the mirror

talking to you through your earpierce, cor- -- earpiece; correct?

A It would have been either Miss Gusman or any other child welfare investigator because interviews are not conducted without an investigator present.

Q When you reviewed your interview of Kaitlyn, you recall where you asked if Kaitlyn wanted to see the room on the other side of the mirror. You remember that; correct?

A I don't recall that directly, but I often ask the kids that question.

- Q When was the last time you watched your interview with Kaitlyn?
- 13 A Yesterday.

- Q So you don't remember showing her the room and specifically saying on camera, "This is where Miss Brenna is"?
- 16 A I don't recall specifically saying that.
 - Q You testified on direct with Mr. McWaters that Kaitlyn disclosed that her father touched her on the vagina on the skin outside and inside the body; correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q She also very specifically disclosed to you that her father was sleeping when that happened; correct?
 - A I believe what she said was that he was half asleep when that happened.
 - Q That he was half asleep, that he was snoring, and his

eyes were closed; correct?

A I don't recall what she said about snoring or eyes closed. Just that she said that she -- he was half asleep when that happened.

Q You watched this interview yesterday, and you don't remember her saying, "He was half asleep. I crawled in his bed. He thought I was my mom. He woke up. He was like, 'I'm sorry'"?

You don't remember that?

A I do remember that. I just don't have a transcript in front of me or have every word of the interview memorized.

Q Sure. And I'm not asking that. I'm just asking if you remember the fact that she specifically mentioned he was snoring and that his eyes were closed.

MR. MCWATERS: Objection.

Q (By Ms. Brown) Do you remember --

MR. MCWATERS: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q (By Ms. Brown) Kaitlyn told you during the course of the interview that she feels safe in her home; correct?

A Yes.

Q She told you that if there was anything going on that made her feel not safe, she would absolutely tell you; correct?

A Yes.

Q She also told you she would consider running away or

pulling a knife on her dad if he tried anything like that; correct? Α Yes. would you agree with me that teenagers can be 0 particularly difficult to forensically interview regard -regarding allegations of sexual abuse? No, not necessarily. Α You don't think that just generally teenagers are more Q difficult to talk to? No, not necessarily. Α You testified that Kaitlyn was very matter-of-fact; O

- correct?
- Correct. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- In other words, she didn't get emotional; right? O
- She appeared to be irritated or defensive. But when I Α think of the word "emotional" or when I see kids get emotional in interviews, typically that involves crying or getting upset, and that is not something that occurred in her forensic interview.
- Yeah. "Irritated" is definitely the word to use for the Q way that she was acting. Would you agree?
- My interpretation of her demeanor in the forensic interview was that she was a bit defensive, maybe irritated, but it's not my job to assign feelings or emotions to her or her behavior. During the disclosure piece, she was

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR REPORTED BY:

matter-of-fact.

- Q Well, you -- you assigned the words "protective" and "defensive" to her; correct?
- A She --
- Q That's your opinion?
- A That's not my opinion. She made statements that her father was a good man, and she made statements about feeling safe with him.
- Q As far as interviewing child sexual abuse -- or -- or children pertaining to allegations of child sexual abuse in the process of disclosure, that area is not a predictive science, is it?
- A I don't understand the question.
- Q Sure. You can't look at research in your field and predict outcomes or future events; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Your area of expertise, this area that you're here testifying as an expert to, it isn't a hard science; correct?
- A I don't know that I would necessarily, it's not a hard -say it's not a hard science because there is a large body of
 different types of research that has been conducted. But it -I would say that it's a social science.
- Q It doesn't use math, like scientists and hard science do where they have control over variables and conclusions, like chemistry or physics; correct?

A Correct.

Q Soft sciences, or what was the word that you used? Did you use soft science?

A No, ma'am. I said it's a social science.

Q Social sciences. Social sciences or soft sci- -- or soft sciences use a process of collecting empirical data and then use the best methods possible to analyze that information, but the results can be difficult to predict. Would you agree?

A I would say that there's a lot of debate about the differences between a, quote, unquote, hard science or soft science. But even in the social sciences, even if they're considered a soft science, they do use statistical data and statistical information to analyze the empirical data that they're collecting.

Q And that statistical data you're using is that substant- -- is all those substantiated cases of sexual abuse; correct?

A I'm unaware of what statistical data you're referring to, but I myself am not a researcher, so I can't testify to what they're using in their research.

Q So you're relying on the research without understanding the research?

A I am relying on a large body of research that has informed the development of the ChildFirst® Protocol. And that research includes topics like suggestibility, trauma, child

development, family dynamics, and a number of other things.

That research is a large body of research that informs the entire process.

- Q But you did testify earlier that the research is based on substantiated cases in the area of child sexual abuse; correct?
- A I testified that there is some research that is based on substantiated cases of child sexual abuse.
- Q Your field doesn't use the scientific method; correct?
- A Correct.

- Q There's no divining rod for intent of suspected cases of sexual abuse; correct?
- A I don't understand the question.
- Q The research and -- and your training in this field pertaining to the process of disclosure and the characteristics of disclosure that you have testified to today, both on direct and then with me, those are based on confirmed or, as you said, substantiated cases of abuse or molestation; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q The process of disclosure itself, by definition only, applies to cases where the child was, in fact, sexually abused; correct?
- A No, not necessarily.
 - Q Explain.
 - A Because the process of disclosure doesn't just necessarily pertain to child sexual abuse cases. It often

does.

But it can also pertain to child physical abuse cases, cases where children have been exposed to violence, whether that's domestic violence or witnessing of a crime. The process of disclosure is just a set of phases and factors that influence the child's disclosure.

- Q Obviously we're just here talking about child sexual abuse today, though, so I'm limiting my question to -- to cases or instances and research pertaining to child sexual abuse. You would agree that when there has been no sexual abuse, there's nothing to process; correct?
- A Not necessarily.
- Q You have no idea how accurate you are specifically in distinguishing children who have been exposed to sexual abuse from non-abused kids, correct, 'cause that's not part of your job?
- A Correct. That's not part of my job.
- Q And you have no idea how accurate or inaccurate the FBI is in distinguishing abused kids from non-abused kids; correct?
- A Correct. I'm unaware of what the FBI does.
- Q And you have no idea how accurate or inaccurate the United States Government is in distinguishing abused kids versus non-abused kids, do you?
- MR. MCWATERS: Objection. Foundation.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I'm unaware.

- Q (By Ms. Brown) Sometimes children don't tell because there's nothing to tell; right?
- A In some cases, that's possible, yes.
- Q Alternatively some children don't disclose ever, and they have been abused; correct?
- A That can happen as well, yes.
- Q But if a child never discloses abuse, it is also possible that it's because they haven't been abused; correct?
- 10 A That is possible, yes.
 - Q Some children only disclose some of what happened to them; agreed?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q But you have no way of knowing whether that's the case in any given interview or any given situation with a child; correct?
 - A Correct. My job is just to explore details of the child's statement.
- 19 Q And that includes your interview with Kaitlyn; correct?
- 20 A Correct.
 - Q You aren't here saying under oath that you think Kaitlyn lied or minimized or anything like that, are you?
- 23 A Correct. That's not part of my job.
- Q You aren't saying here under oath that Kaitlyn withheld information for you -- from you, are you?

A Correct. It's not my job to determine if information is being withheld. It's just my job to explore details of the statements being made.

Q Have you studied the psychological and behavioral characteristics of sexually abused kids?

A Only in the scope of the process of disclosure and forensic interviewing.

Q Excuse me. The research that you rely on is based at least largely -- this large body of research that you've been talking about in this social science is based on kids you've never met or talked to yourself; correct?

A Correct.

Q Those children are cases that you've studied in the research that you rely on -- essentially you were told in the materials that you reviewed, studied, or -- or went to a lecture about that those were, in fact, substantiated cases, as you said before?

A Correct.

Q Essentially, to be clear, you've been told that these kids in the research that you were relying on were sexually abused, but you don't have any personal basis of knowledge for that; correct?

A So my knowledge for that comes from the many trainings that I've been to, including the ChildFirst® Protocol training.

And in that protocol training, they talk about all of the

different hundreds of studies that have been conducted on children who have experienced sexual abuse, children who have experienced physical abuse and other types of abuse and maltreatment.

They also include several studies that have been conducted on suggestibility and child development and a number of other things.

- Q But you would agree that you are here saying that, you know, one, abused children can have certain characteristics or go through certain processes; correct?
- A Correct.

- Q And two, that if a child appears to have some or all of those characteristics, based on your experience, that can mean that the child could have been or might not have been sexually abused; correct?
- A Correct.
 - Q You would agree that the research in the field indicates that children react in a lot of different ways to sexual abuse. Yes?
- 20 A Correct.
 - Q And some children are severely traumatized; correct?
- 22 A Correct.
 - Q The research indicates that some peo- -- some children appear to take it in stride; correct?
 - A The research indicates that children can react in a

- number of different ways, and that it's not the same in every case or for every child.
- Q Each case and each child is different; right?
- A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q Some children can act out; right?
- 6 A I don't understand the question.
 - Q It's a bad question.

Some children who've experienced sexual abuse can react by acting out physically or sexually; correct?

- A Yes. Some children who have experienced any form of abuse or maltreatment or trauma may exhibit signs or symptoms of that through acting out, but that can apply to some cases and not to others.
- Q Some children can become withdrawn if they've been abused; correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Some children tell right away; right?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And some people -- and some children never tell; right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q Some children may have very accurate memories of the 22 traumatic event, but some may not have very clear memories; 23 correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q It's also indicated in the research that some children

have false memories during abuse. Like you mentioned, that they say that they went to the blue forest, and that's just a coping mechanism; correct?

- A The example I used for that is an example of dissociating as a characteristic of tentative disclosure.
- Q Some children can even display psychological symptoms of abuse. Would you agree?
- A Yes.

- Q But alternatively some children can act perfectly normal despite being subjected to years of sexual abuse; correct?
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q Children can also be traumatized by a number of other things that don't involve sexual abuse; correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q There have been no studies or no research in your field that indicate that just because a child acts in a certain way, that means the child has been sexually abused; correct?
- 18 A I'm unaware.
 - Q You're not aware of any studies or -- or results indicating that, are you?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Would you agree with me that because there's no reliable way to know that a child is acting a certain way and thus that means there's sexual ab- -- that's a bad question. Let me -- let me start over.

You would agree with me that because there's no reliable way to say a child acts a certain way when they've been sexually abused, we cannot conclude that a child has been sexually abused just because he or she is acting a certain way?

- A That's not part of my job, and that would be outside of my scope of practice.
- Q Some children who have been sexually abused wet the bed; correct?
- A In my training and experience, I have had a few cases where the child involved in the investigation does wet the bed, but I couldn't say if it was because or not because of their experience with childhood sexual abuse.
- Q And you just answered, I believe, most of my next question because you're -- you cannot say that just because a child wets the bed, that means that he or she were sexually abused; correct?
- A Correct. Determining whether abuse did or did not occur is not part of my job, and that's outside of my scope of practice.
- Q Some children who have experienced sexually abu- -- sexual abuse, like you said in direct examination with Mr. McWaters, can experience PTSD or depression, things like that?
- A Yes.

Q But it is also true that some children who have

experienced any other kind of trauma, such as watching a -- a person die before their eyes, that can also cause PTSD, depression, anxiety, any number of -- of those diagnoses; correct?

A I'm not a psychiatrist or a psychologist, so I can't testify to what could potentially cause PTSD or anxiety. Just that in my training and experience, I have interviewed kids who have been diagnosed with those disorders, potentially as a result of experiencing abuse.

- Q But not confirmed, not anything that -- it -- it's just all potential; correct?
- A I don't understand the question.
- Q I'll ask another one.

Some children, for example, who have been bullied in school or experienced body shaming can also experience PTSD or depression; correct?

A Again, I -- I can't diagnose someone with PTSD or depression or anxiety. But in my training and experience, I have interviewed kids who have expressed that they have been diagnosed with those disorders and who have also expressed suicidal ideation and self-harming tendencies.

But that's really just outside of my scope of practice and my qualifications.

Q Kaitlyn specifically disclosed to you in your interview with her school -- bullying by schoolmates and body shaming by

peers; correct?

A Yes.

Q In fact, during the course of your interview, like you've said earlier in your testimony, you weren't just exploring, and you don't ever just explore the one specific allegation that, you know, you're told about going into the interview. Do you understand my question?

A Yes.

Q Is that true?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q So, for example, while you were interviewing Kaitlyn, even though you didn't have any reason to believe there was anybody abusing drugs or alcohol in the home, you still explored that because you always explore that when you're interviewing a child; correct?

A We always explore other possible forms of abuse or maltreatment, and that can sometimes in- -- include exploring alcohol or drug use in the home.

Q Another example is, going into this interview, you weren't given any information and Kaitlyn didn't bring up anything that caused you to believe that she had been exposed to pornography, but you asked questions about that like you would typically with a child that you're interviewing; correct?

A Yes. Again, it's just a form of continuing to explore any other possible abuse or maltreatment.

```
And -- and in Kaitlyn's instance, she disclosed that the
Q
only pornography she'd been exposed to was by her peers at
school; correct?
Α
      I believe so.
      You have not reviewed Kaitlyn's medical records, school
records, or counseling records prior to interviewing her or
coming in here to testify today; is that true?
      Correct; I have not.
     Are you aware that Kaitlyn has seen a therapist for over
Q
four years?
     No, I'm unaware of her having seen a therapist for over
four years. But in her interview, she does say that she has a
counselor.
      Professional standards in your area indicate that
Q
interviewers, such as yourself, should avoid bias; right?
      Correct.
Α
      And to alleviate bias, interviewers should address
```

multiple hypotheses in their interviews for a child, at minimum both possibilities that a child could have been sexually abused and the possibility that the child wasn't sexually abused; correct?

Α Correct.

To remain neutral because that's your job; right? Q

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Excuse me, Miss Brown.

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR REPORTED BY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ladies and gentlemen, you've been in your chairs for 90 minutes and so has the court reporter, so it's a good time to take a break. This is a midmorning break. It'll be 15 minutes. cso: All rise. (A brief recess was had.) THE COURT: Please return the jury. All rise. CSO: (Jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Miss Brown, you may continue. MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. (By Ms. Brown) Miss Blevins, right before we took our Q morning break, we were discussing bias and how your job as a forensic interviewer is to remain neutral and avoid bias to the extent possible; correct? Correct. Α So assuming sexual abuse or assuming that a child Q has not been exposed to sexual abuse, one or the other, whether your assumption is -- is on one end of the spectrum or the another, would inherently invite bias. You would agree? I would agree. We go into the interview without assumptions and as neutral and unbiased as possible. And you as a forensic interviewer should never assume a 0

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK
REPORTED BY: LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR

child has been sexually abused just because DHS or law

enforcement wanted the child to be forensically interviewed; correct?

- A Correct. We don't make assumptions.
- Q Just to be real clear, when a child comes in for a forensic interview with you, and you've already testified that you don't follow up with them or check in on them or -- or -- or follow their -- what happens to them unless they end up having to come back in for another forensic interview, but you also don't follow through to see if criminal charges are ever filed or DHS takes action in the case or anything like that. That's also not part of your job; correct?
- A Correct.

- Q And it's not part of your job to determine whether a crime happened; correct?
- 15 A Correct.
 - Q And it's not your job to issue an opinion as to whether you believe the child that you forensically interviewed; correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Pursuant to your previous testimony, since it's fairly common for a child or even an adult -- but in this case obviously we're talking about a child -- to not be able to recall when, as far as dates, or how old, as far as ages, they were if they were sexually abused; correct?
 - A Can you repeat the question?

Q Hmm, it was a bad one.

You would agree with me that it's not uncommon for a child to not be able to recall when, as far as a date, or how old they may have been, as far as an age, when they're discussing an incident with you; correct?

A Correct.

Q You would also agree, though, that it's often helpful to probe with additional non-leading, non-suggestive questions in an attempt to narrow down a date or an age if that's at issue?

A Correct. What we would do is ask additional questions in a non-leading or non-suggestive way to try to identify a timeline, and we do that by asking about significant -- possible significant events in the child's life, like what grade were you in, what season it might have been. But children are not always able to answer those questions.

Q Again, anticipating some of my next questions, questions such as, "Do you recall whether it was hot or cold outside," or "Do you remember whether something important like a birthday or a holiday happened near in time," can sometimes assist a child articulating a more specific date or age; correct?

A Sometimes it can, yes.

Q And there are times when something tangible, like an object or a picture or even sometimes a smell, can trigger a child's memory and help the child recall, or at least narrow down things like dates and ages that are difficult for them to

```
pinpoint?
```

A Yes.

Q Kaitlyn consis- -- well, when -- when Mr. McWaters was asking you questions -- I want to make sure I'm remembering your phrasing as best as I can. You said on direct examination that Kaitlyn did not change or contradict herself; correct?

A Correct.

Q And she used terminology that was typical in your experience of a 14-year-old girl?

A Yes.

Q And that can at least help identify, you know -- when a child is not using age-appropriate terminology or is not consistent, that can suggest a motive to fabricate; correct?

A Not necessarily.

Q But it can?

A Yes, it can.

Q But Kaitlyn not only was consistent and didn't contradict herself, she consistently maintained throughout her interview with you that when she crawled into bed with her dad, unbeknownst to him, while he was asleep and snoring, his eyes were closed; correct?

MR. MCWATERS: Objection. Mis- -- mischaracterizes.

THE COURT: The -- overruled. The witness may answer the question.

THE WITNESS: I do not recall specifically if she made

mention of his eyes being closed. What I recall about her forensic interview at this time is that she crawled into bed with her dad, and she told me he was half asleep.

Q (By Ms. Brown) And she wasn't inconsistent about that fact at all, was she?

A No, she was not.

Q And, in fact, that particular part where you were discussing the incident in the bed, you did attempt to rehash it a number of times, such that Kaitlyn was rolling her eyes; correct?

A I can't testify to why she's rolling her eyes, but in the forensic interviewing protocol, sometimes we will ask repeated questions in different ways so that we can try to explore details about the statements that are being made and make sure that we're not just focusing on one event but trying to get as many details as possible about the statements that the child is making.

Q And regardless of why Kaitlyn was rolling her eyes, you would agree that when you were doing that very important tactic during the forensic interview of asking the same thing in different ways, that was during the time that she was -- it was caught -- she was rolling her eyes during that period of time?

A I don't recall during which part of the forensic interview or what time she rolled her eyes, but I do recall her rolling her eyes.

MR. MCWATERS: Very brief redirect, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCWATERS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Miss Blevins, you are here today to testify as an expert on the ChildFirst® Protocol, disclosures of sexual abuse, disclosing, and partial disclosures and forced disclosures; is that right?

A Correct.

Q And as part of that testimony, you can, and in fact you did, give opinions, based on your training and experience, of Kaitlyn's demeanor during the forensic interview; is that right?

A As an expert witness, I can give opinions. But as the forensic interviewer who conducted her interview, I cannot.

Q But today, you earl- -- on direct, we did talk about that you saw certain demeanors and -- and certain signs of -- of different types of -- of a disclosure; is that right?

A Yes. We talked about different characteristics of a tentative disclosure.

Q And you said that one of those characteristics is minimization; is that right?
A Yes.

Q And you said that you saw some signs of minimizing in the forensic interview; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And you also talked about something called a "superhero?" And what -- what -- can you refresh my memory on that? What exactly is that?

A Yes.

MS. BROWN: Objection. Outside the scope of cross.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Another one of the characteristics of a tentative disclosure is called empowerment, and it's also known as superhero. And this is something that we see kids sometimes do in their disclosure where they'll make statements like, "And then I punched him in the face and I flew out the door," or "And then I beat him up and I ran away and flew to another country."

It's statements that are improbable, but they're empowering statements that the child is making during the disclosure.

Q (By Mr. McWaters) And during cross-examination,
Miss Brown asked you about a statement that Kaitlyn made that
if she felt unsafe or that if her dad did anything to her, she

would pull a knife out on him; is that right? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 And Miss Brown also asked you on cross-examination if she 0 4 felt safe at home; is that right? 5 Α Yes. Can -- is -- is it possible for an abused child to still 6 Q 7 feel safe at home? 8 Α Yes. 9 And then also is it common for kids who often cry in 0 forensic interviews? 10 11 I wouldn't necessarily say it's common. It just depends 12 on the child and their reaction to the abuse they've 13 experienced. In my training and experience, I've seen kids cry. I've seen kids be angry. I've seen kids act very 14 15 matter-of-fact and have no visible reaction at all. MR. MCWATERS: That's all the questions I have, Your 16 17 Honor. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: You may step down, ma'am. 19 (Further proceedings were had but not designated as 20 part of this record.) 21 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 22 23 I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT 24 TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

US DISTRICT COURT - NDOK
REPORTED BY: LESLIE K. RUIZ, CSR, RPR, RMR

25